IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
O.O.C.J.
APPEAL NO.64 OF 2006
IN
NOTICE OF MOTION NO.4042 OF 2005
IN
SUIT NO.3449 OF 2005

Creative Eye Ltd. and others .. Appeallnts
v/s.
Dinesh Gandhi and others .. Respondents

Mr.Shyam Diwan, Senior Counsel with Mr.Simil Purohit i/by M/s.Media Lexicon for the appellant.

Mr.Virag Tulzapurkar i/by M/s.Thakore Jariwala & Associates for the respondent No.1.

Mr.S.V.Kadam i/by M/s.Juris Consillis for the respondent No.2.

CORAM : R.M. LODHA &
ANOOP V.MOHTA, JJ.

DATED : 13TH FEBRUARY, 2006.

P.C.

After having heard Mr.Shyam Diwan, the learned senior counsel for the appellants for some time, we are of the view that it would be appropriate if the present appellants (defendant Nos.8 to 10) apply to the learned motion Judge for vacation of the ad-interim order to the extent it operates against them.

2. We are, therefore, not inclined to entertain the appeal and grant liberty to the appellants to make an appropriate application for redressal of their grievance before the learned motion Judge.

3. Needless to say, the learned motion Judge shall pass an appropriate order on such application after hearing the parties.

Appeal is disposed of accordingly.

(R.M.LODHA, J.)

(ANOOP V. MOHTA, J.)